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Objective: Schizophrenia is one of the most severe psychiatric disorders, and its current treatment relies on antipsychotic medications with only partial effectiveness. Clozapine is an atypical antipsychotic with a specific profile of action indicated for treatment-resistant schizophrenia. Neuroimaging studies assessing the effects of clozapine could help shed light on the neural underpinnings of the effects of this drug in the brain. The objective of this study was to review the available literature on the structural and functional neuroimaging findings associated with use of clozapine.

Method: We conducted a systematic review of the indexed literature using the PubMed, BIREME, and ISI Web of Knowledge search engines and the following keywords: clozapine, neuroimaging, computed tomography, MRI, functional magnetic resonance, PET, SPECT, and DTI.

Results: A total of 23 articles were included in the review. In structural studies, the use of clozapine was associated with volume reductions in the basal ganglia, especially the caudate nucleus, where functional neuroimaging studies also found decreased perfusion. In the frontal lobe, clozapine treatment was associated with increased gray matter volume and reduced perfusion.

Conclusion: The results of the studies reviewed suggest that the use of clozapine is associated with distinctive structural and functional neuroimaging findings that are not shared with other antipsychotics.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia is a chronic and often disabling disorder that affects about 1% of the world population, with no significant differences between countries, cultures, or gender, and poses an important challenge to clinical psychiatry.¹,² In general, onset occurs in late adolescence and early adulthood, causing severe impairment to the personal, social, and occupational functioning of patients, affecting their productivity, and generating high costs to health systems. Schizophrenia has a heterogeneous presentation and different psychopathological dimensions, classified as positive, negative, disorganized, affectsive, and cognitive domains, the expression of which varies across individuals and over the course of the disease.³

Despite numerous studies aimed at understanding the disorder, its etiology and pathophysiology are still unknown, and available treatments are only partially effective.⁴ Evidence suggests that schizophrenia is the result of the interaction of genetic, environmental, and social aspects, and most researchers view it as a neurodevelopmental disorder.⁵-⁷

Antipsychotic drugs are the main treatment for schizophrenia, and are classified as typical or atypical according to their profile of action and extrapyramidal side effects. These medications are of the utmost importance in reducing psychotic symptoms and preventing relapse.⁸ However, in contrast with their clear effects on psychotic symptoms, antipsychotics have modest effects on negative symptoms and cognitive impairment.²

Clozapine, the first atypical antipsychotic, represents the main advance in the pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia since the introduction of antipsychotics in clinical practice in the 1950s. It has unique potential for treatment of refractory positive symptoms, negative symptoms, suicidal risk, and cognitive functioning without triggering extrapyramidal symptoms,⁹-¹¹ with the important drawback of its potential to cause agranulocytosis. Due to its superior efficacy profile, clozapine has been investigated for a better understanding of its effects on the central nervous system in the search for novel, more efficient antipsychotic drugs.¹²
Major technical advances in imaging techniques achieved in recent decades have enabled the in vivo study of patients with schizophrenia, and become an important tool to investigate its pathophysiological mechanisms. Recent developments in this area have also vastly expanded our knowledge of the effects of pharmacological compounds in the brain and their action on the central nervous system without the use of invasive methods.\textsuperscript{13}

The objective of this study was to review available data on the structural and functional correlates of the use of clozapine in schizophrenia, assessed through neuroimaging techniques, namely computed tomography (CT), structural (MRI) and functional (fMRI) magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography (PET), and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI).

**Method**

The article search and selection process was based on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement. We searched for articles indexed in the PubMed, BIREME, and ISI Web of Knowledge electronic databases using the following search terms and their equivalents in Portuguese: clozapine AND (neuroimaging OR computed tomography OR MRI OR functional magnetic resonance OR PET OR SPECT OR DTI), published until December 2012. References of selected articles were also hand-searched for possible additional citations.

To be included in the review, studies had to meet the following criteria: 1) involve only human samples; 2) be an original article published in English, Portuguese, or Spanish; and 3) describe brain structural and/or functional features associated with the use of clozapine in schizophrenia. Exclusion criteria were: 1) case reports, literature reviews, or letters to the editor; 2) studies that did not use clozapine; 3) reports on the side effects of clozapine or about its effects on organs other than the brain; 4) studies that did not use neuroimaging techniques; 5) investigations on the use of clozapine in other psychiatric and/or neurological disorders; 6) analyses of previous structural and functional changes predicting response to clozapine; and 7) studies assessing only receptor occupancy.

---

**Figure 1** Flowchart of the article search and selection procedure for the systematic review.

- Included: Hand search (n=1)
- Articles included: n=23
- Articles excluded (n=443):
  - Case reports, reviews, letters to the editor (n=89)
  - Studies describing side effects and effects of clozapine on other organs (n=24)
  - Studies that did not use neuroimaging techniques or clozapine or without detailed results about the clozapine group (n=200)
  - Studies on the use of clozapine in other psychiatric and/or neurological disorders (n=18)
  - Studies on previous structural and functional changes that predict response to clozapine (n=12)
  - Studies assessing receptor occupancy alone (n=100)

---

Rev Bras Psiquiatr. 2015;37(1)
The article search and selection process and reasons for the exclusion of references are shown in Figure 1.

Results
Of the 23 articles examined in this review, 15 included healthy control groups for comparison. Regarding experimental samples, eight studies included only patients treated with clozapine and 15 involved patients on other antipsychotics in addition to participants on clozapine.

For heuristic purposes, the included studies were divided into “structural” and “functional” according to the neuroimaging technique used. Seven studies used structural MRI and the remaining 16 used functional neuroimaging techniques (two used fMRI, three used MRS, five used SPECT, and seven used PET). One of the functional studies used two techniques: spectroscopy and SPECT.

Structural studies
Studies evaluating the effects of clozapine by means of MRI are described in Table 1. The interval between MRI scans before and after clozapine initiation ranged from 24 weeks to 5 years. Of the seven MRI studies included, six described the use of typical antipsychotics prior to clozapine. Clozapine was the only medication used by patients during image acquisition in three studies, while the remaining four also included patients on other antipsychotics. Four studies in this group assessed basal ganglia volume, mainly focusing on the caudate nucleus, and the three remaining studies assessed white and gray matter volumes, white and gray matter density, and gray matter cortical thickness respectively.

In comparison with typical antipsychotic therapy, clozapine treatment was associated with volume reductions in the caudate nucleus in all studies assessing this region. Regarding white and gray matter volume, Molina et al. 18 found increased gray matter volume and reduced white matter volume after comparing a group on clozapine treatment and a healthy control group, with changes observed in total, frontal, parietal, and occipital volumes in comparison with controls. 18 Van Haren et al. 19 investigated white and gray matter density in a longitudinal study and reported that the dose of clozapine accumulated per year during the 5-year follow-up study was related to a smaller reduction in density in the region of the left superior frontal gyrus compared with typical antipsychotic therapy. In a study comparing patients on clozapine and olanzapine, another atypical antipsychotic, Mattai et al. 20 assessed gray matter cortical thickness and found the use of clozapine to be associated with thickness reduction in an area of the right prefrontal cortex.

Functional studies
The included functional studies were divided in accordance with the neuroimaging technique used.

Functional magnetic resonance (fMRI)
The two fMRI studies assessing the effects of clozapine in the central nervous system are described in Table 2.

Table 1 Structural findings associated with the use of clozapine as assessed by MRI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Region assessed</th>
<th>Variable investigated</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chakos</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>CLZ (8)</td>
<td>54.6 weeks</td>
<td>Caudate nucleus</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↓ caudate nucleus volume in CLZ group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frazier</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Basal ganglia</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↓ caudate nucleus volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheepers</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>52 weeks</td>
<td>Caudate nucleus</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↓ right caudate nucleus volume in patients who responded to CLZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>RSP (17)</td>
<td>26 months</td>
<td>WM/GM: total</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>↑ total, frontal, parietal, occipital GM volume and ↓ total, frontal, parietal and occipital WM volume in CLZ vs. control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Haren</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>WM and GM</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>(-7, 58, 25)</td>
<td>Accumulated dose/years of CLZ and OLZ related to a smaller ↓ of density in superior left frontal gyrus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattai</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>CLZ (12)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Cortical thickness</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Small area in the right prefrontal cortex thinner in the CLZ vs. OLZ group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP = antipsychotic; CLZ = clozapine; GM = gray matter; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; N/A = not available; OLZ = olanzapine; RSP = risperidone; WM = white matter; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease.
Both studies used single scans and tasks simultaneous to image acquisition. Wenz et al.\textsuperscript{21} compared patients taking clozapine with healthy controls during a motor task to assess the sensorimotor cortex. A substantial reduction in motor activation signal was found in patients taking clozapine in comparison with controls, especially in association with left hand movements.\textsuperscript{21}

Besides patients on clozapine and healthy controls, Schmitt et al.\textsuperscript{22} also assessed patients using typical antipsychotics during visual and auditory stimulation tasks, with the objective of assessing prefrontal and parietal areas and the anterior cingulate gyrus. In this study, clozapine was associated with greater activation in these areas in comparison with atypical antipsychotics.

None of these studies provided specific information concerning the use of typical antipsychotics prior to clozapine.

Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)

Three studies assessed the effects of clozapine on the central nervous system through MR proton spectroscopy (Table 3). Two of these investigations included groups of patients on typical and other atypical antipsychotics and healthy control groups to be compared with patients on clozapine in a single scan. The remaining study was a longitudinal investigation of a group of patients without medication (baseline) and after 8 weeks of clozapine treatment. All patients taking clozapine in these studies had been previously treated with typical antipsychotics. The brain areas assessed using MRS were the left frontal lobe, left temporal lobe, caudate nucleus, left thalamus, and left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (LDLPFC). Metabolites measured with MRS included N-acetylaspartate (NAA), choline, and creatine, but the use of clozapine was not associated with any significant changes in their levels.

Positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)

The functional neuroimaging studies that used PET and SPECT (n=12) are listed respectively in Tables 4 and 5. Of all studies included in this group, nine were longitudinal studies. Six of the studies in this category used tasks during image acquisition protocols and six recorded activity at rest. The use of typical antipsychotics prior to clozapine was reported in eight articles. Four of the studies included only samples of patients on clozapine, whereas the remaining eight included groups using other typical and/or atypical antipsychotics.

Six articles described reduced frontal lobe perfusion with the use of clozapine, especially in prefrontal areas.\textsuperscript{26,28,31,32,35,36} Three of these were longitudinal studies in which the first scan was obtained while patients were on treatment with typical antipsychotics\textsuperscript{25,35} or atypical antipsychotics\textsuperscript{28} and the second scan was performed after a period of treatment with clozapine. Cohen et al.\textsuperscript{32} described reduced frontal lobe perfusion with the use of clozapine in comparison with controls, and Molina et al.\textsuperscript{36} found similar results comparing a group on clozapine with neuroleptic-naive patients and controls.\textsuperscript{32,36} Lastly, Cohen et al.\textsuperscript{31} also found reduced frontal lobe perfusion in patients on clozapine compared with patients on fluphenazine and controls.\textsuperscript{31}

Conversely, one study found significantly increased perfusion in regions of the frontal lobe comparing patients without medication and the same group of patients 8 weeks after the initiation of clozapine treatment.\textsuperscript{25} Clozapine treatment was also associated with increased cerebral blood flow (CBF) in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and reduced CBF in the ventrolateral frontal cortex in comparison with haloperidol treatment and untreated controls.\textsuperscript{33}

A pattern of decreased activation in the region of the basal ganglia in association with the use of clozapine was found in four studies.\textsuperscript{26,28,35,36} Two of these compared patients on clozapine and patients on typical antipsychotics.\textsuperscript{26,35} One compared patients on clozapine and risperidone,\textsuperscript{28} and the last one compared a group of patients on clozapine with neuroleptic-naive patients and healthy controls.\textsuperscript{36}

Increased perfusion in regions of the temporal, occipital, and parietal cortices was reported in five studies.\textsuperscript{28,31-33,35} Two of the studies found increased perfusion in the occipital cortex of patients on clozapine compared with haloperidol treatment.\textsuperscript{33,35}

Molina et al.\textsuperscript{28} reported increased posterior temporal and occipital cortex perfusion in patients treated with clozapine compared with controls, in addition to increased perfusion in the medial occipital cortex in clozapine patients compared with risperidone patients.\textsuperscript{28} Finally, Cohen et al.\textsuperscript{32} described increased perfusion in the occipital and parietal cortices when comparing patients treated with clozapine and healthy controls.\textsuperscript{32}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Functional findings associated with the use of clozapine as assessed by fMRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenz\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>14 patients 10 controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmitt\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>18 patients 21 controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP = antipsychotic; CLZ = clozapine; fMRI = functional magnetic resonance imaging; N/A = not available; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease.
The fact that clozapine blocks D1 receptors, which are abundant in the prefrontal cortex, and its subsequent action on D3 and D4 receptors may also contribute in such findings, since their strong antagonism on D2 receptors could stimulate upregulation of these receptors, as well as cause volume and perfusion increases.

Accordingly, the discontinuation of these medications could lead to reductions in volume and activity that could be mixed with the effects of clozapine introduction.38

Regarding gray matter, the results available suggest that clozapine may have a neuroprotective effect, as suggested by descriptions of increased gray matter volume and decreased loss of density in the left frontal gyrus in the articles reviewed. Previous studies using olanzapine, which is an atypical antipsychotic similar to clozapine, showed an increase in glial cell division and cortical hypertrophy in rats.39 Such effects of clozapine may also explain its action on gray matter.

Another possibility is that the use of typical antipsychotics such as haloperidol has been associated with progressive loss of gray matter.40 Therefore, the previous use of typical antipsychotics and their subsequent discontinuation could be involved in the increase of volume and density of gray matter.

The frontal lobe, and mainly its prefrontal portion, has been assessed in many functional studies included in this review because of its likely involvement in the etiology and pathophysiology of schizophrenia. Half of the studies that used SPECT and PET described reduced activity in frontal regions. Clozapine increases extracellular dopamine in frontal areas, and this increase stimulates the release of GABA, an inhibitor that reduces perfusion in the region.41,42 The fact that clozapine blocks D1 receptors more efficiently than D2 receptors may also be involved in the metabolic patterns observed.43 D1 receptors are densely distributed in the prefrontal cortex, and clozapine-induced D1 blockade could contribute to lower prefrontal activity. Clozapine has also been found to reduce prefrontal hyperfunction in patients with schizophrenia during task performance.44

The increase in cortical activity in the parietal and temporal cortices and, mainly, in the occipital cortex observed with the use of clozapine may be a result of the tendency of atypical antipsychotics to increase cortical perfusion.44 However, it may also be a consequence of the discontinuation of previous therapy with typical antipsychotics, the use of which has been associated with decreased cortical activity. In general, however, patients taking clozapine showed greater activation in the cortical regions assessed when compared with patients using typical antipsychotics. This can probably be explained by an improvement in glutamatergic transmission caused by clozapine, which is supported by findings from animal studies that show an increase in the activity of NMDA glutamate receptors with clozapine treatment that did not occur with the administration of haloperidol.45,46 Therefore, typical antipsychotics seem unable to counteract glutamatergic hypofunction in schizophrenia.

Levels of NAA, a marker of neuronal integrity assessed through spectroscopy, were found to be reduced in prefrontal and temporal regions in schizophrenia.43,47 The absence of significant differences in NAA levels
across the groups evaluated in the studies may suggest a normalization of this marker with the use of clozapine.24 Previous use of typical antipsychotics should also be considered as a possible explanation, since this class of antipsychotics has been associated with lower NAA levels in the thalamus and frontal lobe of patients compared to controls.23,24

The structural and functional changes associated with clozapine treatment found in this review are different from those previously associated with typical antipsychotics and, albeit smaller, from those described in association with the use of other atypical antipsychotics. A consistent finding of MRI studies is that typical antipsychotics are associated with enlargement of the basal ganglia and reductions in cortical volume, mainly in the frontal and temporal lobes, while atypical antipsychotics seem to be mostly associated with enlargement of the thalamus.48-50

Specroscopy studies have described reduced NAA levels in frontal areas in patients treated with typical antipsychotics compared to patients on atypical antipsychotics.51,52 In addition, typical antipsychotics seem to reduce activity in the frontal cortex and increase activity in the basal ganglia, while atypical antipsychotics appear to be associated with decreased activity in frontal areas.14,53,54

Some limitations of our review must be mentioned. First, the previous use of typical antipsychotics reported in most studies and the time of withdrawal varying widely from a few days to months could act as confounding factors in the interpretation of the results presented. These drugs can cause specific structural and functional changes of unknown intensity, direction, and course after the discontinuation of use. Further investigation is necessary to accurately determine whether the changes reported in the articles and summarized here are due to the introduction of clozapine or to the discontinuation of typical antipsychotics. Another limitation is that the dose and time of clozapine treatment in the different samples varied significantly, making comparisons more difficult. Another factor possibly affecting results is that most of the subjects enrolled in the studies were diagnosed with refractory schizophrenia, which, due to its severity and poor prognosis, can cause changes in the central nervous system. The heterogeneity in study designs and number of scans performed should also be considered as obstacles to global analyses and imaging comparisons. Finally, the lack of control groups of either healthy subjects or patients on antipsychotics other than clozapine is another factor that hinders the comparison of results.

An important point to be noted in our review was the inclusion of articles describing studies with the same sample or similar samples whose results were analyzed and presented separately. Molina et al.39 and Molina

Table 4 Functional findings associated with the use of clozapine as assessed by SPECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Region assessed</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez26</td>
<td>39 patients</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>Typical AP</td>
<td>SPECT: Tc-99m ECD HMPAO</td>
<td>26 weeks</td>
<td>Thalamus, basal ganglia, superior/inferior DLPFC, and anterior prefrontal cortex</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao27</td>
<td>21 patients</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>Unspecified previous treatment</td>
<td>SPECT: Tc-99m ECD WCST</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Frontal, temporal, parietal, and occipital lobes</td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina28</td>
<td>10 patients</td>
<td>RSP</td>
<td>Previous typical and/or atypical AP</td>
<td>SPECT: Tc-99m HMPAO Stroop test</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Cerebral activation pattern</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergun29</td>
<td>20 patients</td>
<td>CLZ</td>
<td>Previous typical and/or atypical AP</td>
<td>SPECT: Tc-99m HMPAO SISCOM</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>Frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital lobes</td>
<td>Caudate, thalamus, cerebellum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP = antipsychotic; CLZ = clozapine; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; ECD = ethyl cysteinate dimer; HMPAO = hexamethylpropyleneamine oxime; N/A = not available; PFC = prefrontal cortex; RS = resting state; RSP = risperidone; SISCOM = subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI; SPECT = single photon emission tomography; Tc = technetium; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease.
Table 5  Functional findings associated with the use of clozapine as assessed by PET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Region assessed</th>
<th>Talairach coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buchsbaum30</td>
<td>12 patients</td>
<td>CLZ (7)</td>
<td>18F-FDG-PET Continuous Performance Task</td>
<td>28-49 days</td>
<td>Basal ganglia</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CLZ: ↑ metabolism in basal ganglia vs. TTX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen31</td>
<td>43 patients</td>
<td>FLZ (22)</td>
<td>18F-FDG-PET Auditory Discrimination Task</td>
<td>Single scan</td>
<td>Superior and inferior PFC, occipital, parietal, temporal lateral, limbic, and subcortex</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CLZ: ↓ metabolism in the PFC vs. controls and FLZ ↑ metabolism of limbic, parietal, and occipital cortices vs. controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen32</td>
<td>52 patients</td>
<td>FLZ (28)</td>
<td>18F-FDG-PET Auditory Discrimination Task</td>
<td>Single scan</td>
<td>Superior and inferior PFC, occipital, parietal, temporal lateral, limbic, and subcortex</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CLZ: ↓ in superior and inferior PFC and ↑ in the occipital and parietal vs. controls ↑ ↑ effect in the temporal medial cortex ↑ of perfusion in right ventral striatum, left caudate, and left DLPFC vs. controls ↑ in anterior cingulate, medial frontal cortex, DLF, and occipital cortex vs. HLP ↓ in left hippocampus and VLF vs. controls ↓ ventral striatum, putamen, right VLF vs. HLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahti33</td>
<td>6 patients</td>
<td>HLP (5)</td>
<td>PET 15O water</td>
<td>35 weeks</td>
<td>Cerebral activation pattern</td>
<td>(-32, 4, -12) (-14, 6, 16) (-50, -8, 26) (-26, 16, 36) (-30, -28, -10) (50, 54, 4) (-44, 34, -6)</td>
<td>CLZ with similar perfusion to controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahti34</td>
<td>6 patients</td>
<td>HLP (5)</td>
<td>PET 15O water</td>
<td>35 weeks</td>
<td>Anterior cingulate gyrus</td>
<td>(-2, 12, 48)</td>
<td>CLZ with similar perfusion to controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina35</td>
<td>22 patients</td>
<td>HLP (23)</td>
<td>18F-FDG-PET</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Cerebral activation pattern</td>
<td>(30, 58, 32) (-34, 52, 34) (-6, 54, 40) (-30, -12, 2) (26, 4, 2) (34, -78, 4) (-30, -82, 20)</td>
<td>CLZ: ↓ in DLPFC, medial prefrontal and left inferior medial temporal cortex, and basal ganglia vs. HLP ↑ in occipital vs. HLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina36</td>
<td>40 patients</td>
<td>CLZ (23)</td>
<td>18F-FDG-PET</td>
<td>Single scan</td>
<td>Cerebral activation pattern</td>
<td>(52, 22, 34) (2, 52, -4) (2, 36, 16) (-40, 18, 0) (42, 20, -2) (-6, 14, -6) (44, 26, 42) (-26, 38, -8) (2, 54, -6) (-4, 31, 40)</td>
<td>CLZ: ↓ in dorsolateral cortex, orbito-frontal, insular, and anterior cingulate vs. controls and NN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP = antipsychotic; CLZ = clozapine; DLFC = dorsolateral frontal cortex; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; FLZ = fluphenazine; HLP = haloperidol; N/A = not available; NN = neuroleptic-naive; PET = positron emission tomography; PFC = prefrontal cortex; TTX = tiotixene; VLFC = ventrolateral frontal cortex; 18F-FDG = (18F)fluoro-2-deoxyglucose; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease.
et al. worked with the same sample of treatment-resistant patients that were taking clozapine; however, the most recent publication included and compared a group of treatment-naïve patients. Both studies found reduced frontal lobe perfusion with the use of clozapine. Cohen et al. worked with the same sample of Cohen but included more subjects and found similar results. The two articles by Scheepers et al. analyzed the same sample of patients, but with different durations of clozapine treatment. Both articles described volume reductions in the caudate nucleus. Finally, Lahti et al. and Lahti et al. also worked with the same sample, but investigated different brain areas in each article. If, on the one hand, studies with the same sample can be expected to reach the same conclusions, the inclusion of additional analyses and comparisons may lend strength to their findings.

Available evidence from neuroimaging investigations suggests that clozapine may have a specific profile of action on the central nervous system when compared with typical and other atypical antipsychotics, particularly in the prefrontal area of the frontal lobe and in the basal ganglia. Determination of the neural basis of the effects of clozapine in the brain may provide clues into the still-unknown etiology of schizophrenia and inform the development of novel, better medications to treat psychosis.
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